arXiv:math/0211121v1 [math.CA] 6 Nov 2002

Some topics concerning harmonic analysis on metric spaces

Stephen Semmes

Abstract

In this brief survey we give an introduction to some aspects of "atoms" on metric spaces and their connection with linear operators.

Let $(M, d(x, y))$ be a metric space. For the purposes of this article, the underlying set M will always be assumed to contain at least 2 distinct elements. As usual, the distance function $d(x, y)$ should satisfy $d(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in M$, $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$, $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all x and y, and the "triangle inequality"

$$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \tag{1}$$

for all x, y and z in M.

Notice that

$$|d(x, z) - d(y, z)| \leq d(x, y) \tag{2}$$

for all x, y, and z in M, which can be derived from the triangle inequality. For each x in M and positive real number r, let us write $B(x, r)$ and $\overline{B}(x, r)$ for the open and closed balls of radius r in M, i.e.,

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in M : d(y, x) < r\}, \ \overline{B}(x, r) = \{y \in M : d(y, x) \leq r\}. \tag{3}$$

If E is a nonempty subset of M, then $\operatorname{diam} E$ denotes the *diameter* of E, defined by

$$\operatorname{diam} E = \sup\{d(u, v) : u, v \in E\}. \tag{4}$$

Let s be a positive real number. We say that $(M, d(x, y))$ is *Ahlfors-regular of dimension s* if M is complete as a metric space, and if there is a positive Borel measure μ on M such that

$$C_1^{-1} \, r^s \leq \mu(\overline{B}(x, r)) \leq C_1 \, r^s \tag{5}$$

for some positive real number C_1, all x in M, and all $r > 0$ such that $r \le \operatorname{diam} M$ if M is bounded. As a basic example, if M is n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^n$ with the standard metric, and if μ is Lebesgue measure, then in fact $\mu(\overline{B}(x, r))$ is equal to a constant times r^n, where the constant is simply the volume of the unit ball. More exotically, one can consider (simply-connected) nonabelian nilpotent Lie groups, such as the Heisenberg groups. These can be given as Euclidean spaces topologically, but with very different distance functions that are compatible with the group structure in place of ordinary vector addition. For these spaces one still has natural dilations as on Euclidean spaces, and Lebesgue measure is compatible with both the group structure and the dilations, in such a way that the measure of a ball of radius r is equal to a constant times r^s, where s is now a geometric dimension that is larger than the topological dimension.

Fix a metric space $(M, d(x, y))$ and a measure μ on M satisfying the conditions in the definition of Ahlfors-regularity, with dimension s. The following fact is sometimes useful: there is a constant $k_1 \ge 1$ so that if x is an element of M and r, R are positive numbers, with $r \le R$, then the ball $\overline{B}(x, R)$ can be covered by a collection of at most $k_1 \, (R/r)^s$ closed balls of radius r. If M is bounded, then we may as well assume that $r < \operatorname{diam} M$ here, because M is automatically contained in a single ball with radius $\operatorname{diam} M$. We may also assume that $R \le \operatorname{diam} M$, since we could simply replace R with $\operatorname{diam} M$ if R is initially chosen to be larger than that.

To establish the assertion in the preceding paragraph, let us begin with a preliminary observation. Suppose that A is a subset of $\overline{B}(x, R)$ such that $d(x, y) > r$ for all x, y in A. Then the number of elements of A is at most $k_1 \, (R/r)^s$, if we choose k_1 large enough (independently of x, R, and r). Indeed,

$$\sum_{a \in A} \mu(\overline{B}(a, r/2)) = \mu\Big(\bigcup_{a \in A} \overline{B}(a, r/2) \Big) \le \mu(\overline{B}(x, 3R/2)), \tag{6}$$

where the first equality uses the disjointness of the balls $\overline{B}(a, r/2)$, $a \in A$. The Ahlfors-regularity property then applies to give a bound on the number of elements of A of the form $k_1 \, (R/r)^s$. Now that we have such a bound, suppose that A is also chosen so that the number of its elements is maximal. Then

$$\overline{B}(x, R) \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in A} \overline{B}(a, r). \tag{7}$$

In other words, if z is an element of $\overline{B}(x, R)$, then $d(z, a) \le r$ for some a in A, because otherwise we could add z to A to get a set which satisfies the same separation condition as A, but which has 1 more element. This yields the original assertion.

In particular, closed and bounded subsets of M are compact. This uses the well-known characterization of compactness in terms of completeness and total boundedness, where the latter holds for bounded subsets of M by the result just discussed.

Let us look at some special families of functions on M, called *atoms* (following [CoiW2]). For the sake of definiteness, we make the convention that a "ball" in M means a closed ball (with some center and radius), if nothing else is specified. Suppose that p is a real number and r is an extended real number such that

$$0 < p \le 1, \ 1 \le r \le \infty, \ p < r. \tag{8}$$

An integrable complex-valued function $a(x)$ on M will be called a (p, r)-*atom* if it satisfies the following three conditions: first, there is a ball B in M such that the support of a is contained in B, i.e., $a(x) = 0$ when $x \in M \backslash B$; second,

$$\int_M a(x) \, d\mu(x) = 0; \tag{9}$$

and third,

$$\Big(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_M |a(x)|^r \, d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/r} \le \mu(B)^{-1/p}. \tag{10}$$

If $r = \infty$, then (10) is interpreted as meaning that the supremum (or essential supremum, if one prefers) of a is bounded by $\mu(B)^{-1/p}$.

The size condition (10) may seem a bit odd at first. A basic point is that it implies

$$\int_M |a(x)|^p \, d\mu(x) \le 1, \tag{11}$$

by Jensen's inequality. The index r reflects a kind of regularity of the atom, and notice that a (p, r_1)-atom is automatically a (p, r_2)-atom when $r_1 \ge r_2$. There are versions of this going in the other direction, from r_2 to r_1, and we shall say more about this soon.

If α is a positive real number no greater than 1, define $\mathrm{Lip}\, \alpha$ to be the space of complex-valued functions $\phi(x)$ on M such that

$$\sup\Big\{\frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|}{d(x, y)^\alpha} : x, y \in M, \ x \ne y\Big\} < \infty. \tag{12}$$

In this case we define $\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha}$ to be this supremum. Notice that $\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha} = 0$ if and only if ϕ is constant, and that $\| \cdot \|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha}$ is a seminorm, which means that

$$\|\phi + \psi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha} \le \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha} + \|\psi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\|\lambda\,\phi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha} = |\lambda|\,\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha} \tag{14}$$

for all ϕ, ψ in $\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha(M)$ and all complex numbers λ.

As in (2), $\phi(x) = d(x, z)$ lies in $\mathrm{Lip}\,1$ on M for any fixed z in M, and indeed $\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,1} = 1$. If f lies in the analogue of $\mathrm{Lip}\,1$ on the space $\mathbf{C}$ of complex numbers (with respect to the usual Euclidean metric), and if ψ is any function in $\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha$ on M, then the composition $f \circ \psi$ also lies in $\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha$ on M. One can use this to show that $\mathrm{Lip}\,1$ contains "plenty" of nontrivial functions with bounded support in M. This extends to $\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, because any function in $\mathrm{Lip}\,1$ with bounded support also lies in $\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Suppose that $a(x)$ is a (p, r)-atom on M and that $\phi(x)$ lies in $\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha$ on M. Consider the integral

$$\int_M a(x)\,\phi(x)\,d\mu(x). \tag{15}$$

Let $B = \overline{B}(z, t)$ be the ball associated to $a(x)$ as in the definition of an atom. The preceding integral can be written as

$$\int_{\overline{B}(z,t)} a(x)\,(\phi(x) - \phi(z))\,d\mu(x), \tag{16}$$

using also (9). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Big|\int_M a(x)\,\phi(x)\,d\mu(x)\Big| &\le \int_{\overline{B}(z,t)} |a(x)|\,|\phi(x) - \phi(z)|\,d\mu(x) \\ &\le \mu(\overline{B}(z, t))^{1-(1/p)}\,t^{\alpha}\,\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Ahlfors-regularity implies that

$$\Big|\int_M a(x)\,\phi(x)\,d\mu(x)\Big| \le C_1^{1-(1/p)}\,t^{(1-(1/p))s+\alpha}\,\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha}. \tag{18}$$

In particular,

$$\Big|\int_M a(x)\,\phi(x)\,d\mu(x)\Big| \le C_1^{1-(1/p)}\,\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha} \tag{19}$$

when $\alpha = ((1/p) - 1)\, s$.

If we want to be able to choose $\alpha = ((1/p) - 1)\, s$ and have $\alpha \le 1$, then we are lead to the restriction

$$p \ge \frac{s}{s+1}. \tag{20}$$

Indeed, this condition does come up for some results, even if much of the theory works without it. There can also be some funny business at the endpoint, so that one might wish to assume a strict inequality in (20), or some statements would have to be modified when equality holds.

In some situations this type of restriction is not really necessary, perhaps with some adjustments. Let us mention two basic scenarios where this happens. First, suppose that our metric space M is something like a self-similar Cantor set, such as the classical "middle-thirds" Cantor set. If we define $\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha$ on M in the same way as before, but allowing α to be larger than 1, then there are plenty of $\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha$ functions, and, for that matter, there are plenty of functions which are locally constant. The computation giving (19) still works when $\alpha > 1$, and this is true in general. The point is that this naive extension of $\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha$ on a metric space M can be degenerate when $\alpha > 1$, e.g., it may contain only constant functions. This is true when M is equal to $\mathbf{R}^n$ with the standard metric, for instance. For if $\alpha > 1$, then any function in $\mathrm{Lip}\,\alpha$ has derivative 0 everywhere.

On the other hand, if $M = \mathbf{R}^n$ with the standard Euclidean metric, then there other ways to define classes of more smooth functions, through conditions on higher derivatives. In connection with this, there is a simple way to strengthen (9), which is to ask that the integral of an atom times a polynomial of degree at most some number is equal to 0. If one does this, then there are natural extensions of (19) for $\alpha > 1$, obtained by subtracting a polynomial approximation to $\phi(x)$.

A basic manner in which atoms can be used is to test localization properties of linear operators. Suppose that T is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(M)$, and that a is a $(p, 2)$-atom on M. Consider

$$T(a) \tag{21}$$

(as well as $T^*(a)$, for that matter). This is well-defined as an element of $L^2(M)$, since a lies in $L^2(M)$. If $B = \overline{B}(z, t)$ is the ball associated to a in the definition of an atom, then the estimate

$$\Big(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_M |T(a)(x)|^2 \, d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/2} \le \|T\|_{2,2} \Big(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_M |a(x)|^2 \, d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/2} \tag{22}$$

$$\leq \quad \|T\|_{2,2}\, \mu(B)^{-1/p}$$

provides about as much information about $T(a)$ around B, on $2B = \overline{B}(z, 2t)$, say, as one might reasonably expect to have. However, in many situations one can expect to have decay of $T(a)$ away from B, in such a way that

$$\|T(a)\|_p \leq k \tag{23}$$

for some constant k which does not depend on a.

In this argument it is natural to take $r = 2$, but a basic result in the theory is that one has some freedom to vary r. Specifically, if b is a (p, r)-atom on M, then it is possible to write b as

$$b = \sum_i \beta_i\, b_i, \tag{24}$$

where each b_i is a (p, ∞)-atom, each β_i is a complex number, and $\sum_i |\beta_i|^p$ is bounded by a constant that does not depend on b (but which may depend on p or r). Let us give a few hints about how one can approach this. As an initial approximation, one can try to write b as

$$b = \beta'\, b' + \sum_j \gamma_j\, c_j, \tag{25}$$

where b' is a (p, ∞)-atom, β' is a complex number such that $|\beta'|$ is bounded by a constant that does not depend on b, each c_j is a (p, r)-atom, and $\sum_j |\gamma_j|^p \leq 1/2$, say. If one can do this, then one can repeat the process indefinitely to get a decomposition as in (24). In order to derive (25), the method of Calderón–Zygmund decompositions can be employed.

Recall that

$$\Big(\sum_k \tau_k\Big)^p \leq \sum_k \tau_k^p \tag{26}$$

for nonnegative real numbers τ_k and $0 < p \leq 1$. As a consequence, if $\{f_k\}$ is a family of measurable functions on M such that

$$\int_M |f_k(x)|^p\, d\mu(x) \leq 1 \qquad \text{for all } k, \tag{27}$$

and if $\{\theta_k\}$ is a family of constants, then

$$\int_M \Big|\sum_k \theta_k\, f_k(x)\Big|^p\, d\mu(x) \leq \sum_k |\theta_k|^p. \tag{28}$$

Because of this, bounds on $\sum_l |\alpha_l|^p$ are natural when considering sums of the form $\sum_l \alpha_l \, a_l$, where the a_l's are (p, r)-atoms and the α_l's are constants.

A fundamental theorem concerning atoms is the following. Suppose that T is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(M)$ again. (One could start as well with a bounded linear operator on some other L^v space, with suitable adjustments.) Suppose also that there is a constant k so that (23) holds for all $(p, 2)$-atoms, where $0 < p \le 1$, as before, or even simply for all (p, ∞)-atoms. Then T determines a bounded linear operator on L^q for $1 < q < 2$. This indicates how atoms are sufficiently abundant to be useful.

The proof of this theorem relies on an argument like the one in Marcinkeiwicz interpolation. In the traditional setting, one of the main ingredients is to take a function f in L^q on M, and, for a given positive real number λ, write it as $f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1(x) = f(x)$ when $|f(x)| \le \lambda$, $f_1(x) = 0$ when $|f(x)| > 0$, $f_2(x) = f(x)$ when $|f(x)| > \lambda$, and $f_2(x) = 0$ when $|f(x)| \le \lambda$. Notice in particular that f_1 lies in L^w for all $w \ge q$, and that f_2 lies in L^u for all $u \le q$. For the present purposes, the idea is to use decompositions which are better behaved, with f_2 having a more precise form as a sum of multiples of atoms. The Calderón–Zygmund method is again applicable, although it should be mentioned that one first works with (p, r)-atoms with one choice of r, and then afterwards makes a conversion to a larger r using the results described before.

In addition to considering the effect of T on atoms, one can consider the effect of T^* on atoms, and this leads to conclusions about T on L^q for $q > 2$, by duality.

References

[As1] P. Assouad, *Espaces Métriques, Plongements, Facteurs*, Thèse de Doctorat (January, 1977), Université de Paris XI, 91405 Orsay, France.

[As2] P. Assouad, *Étude d'une dimension métrique liée à la possibilité de plongement dans* $\mathbf{R}^n$, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Paris, Sér. A **288** (1979), 731–734.

[As3] P. Assouad, *Plongements Lipschitziens dans* $\mathbf{R}^n$, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France **111** (1983), 429–448.

[CoiW1] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse Harmonique Non-Commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **242**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[CoiW2] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **83** (1977), 569–645.

[DahK] B. Dahlberg and C. Kenig, *Hardy spaces and the Neumann problem in L^p for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains*, Annals of Mathematics (2) **125** (1987), 437–465.

[Duo] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier Analysis*, translated and revised by D. Cruz-Uribe, SFO, American Mathematical Society, 2001.

[FolS] G. Folland and E. Stein, *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Princeton University Press, 1982.

[Gar] J. García-Cuerva, *Weighted Hardy Spaces*, in *Harmonic Analysis in Euclidean Spaces*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **35**, Part 1, 253–261, American Mathematical Society, 1979.

[GarR] J. García-Cuerva and J. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland, 1985.

[Jou] J.-L. Journé, *Calderón–Zygmund Operators, Pseudodifferential Operators, and the Cauchy Integral of Calderón*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **994**, Springer-Verlag, 1983.

[MacS1] R. Macias and C. Segovia, *Lipschitz functions on spaces of homogeneous type*, Advances in Mathematics **33** (1979), 257–270.

[MacS2] R. Macias and C. Segovia, *A decomposition into atoms of distributions on spaces of homogeneous type*, Advances in Mathematics **33** (1979), 271–309.

[Mat] P. Mattila, *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[Sem] S. Semmes, *Metric spaces and mappings seen at many scales*, appendix in *Metric Structures for Riemannian and Non-Riemannian Spaces*, M. Gromov et al., Birkhäuser, 1999.

[Ste1] E. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.

[Ste2] E. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, 1993.

[SteW] E. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1971.

[StrT] J.-O. Strömberg and A. Torchinsky, *Weighted Hardy Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1381**, Springer-Verlag, 1989.

[TaiW] M. Taibleson and G. Weiss, *The molecular characterization of certain Hardy spaces*, Astérisque **77**, 67–149, Société Mathématique de France, 1980.

[Tor] A. Torchinsky, *Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*, Academic Press, 1986.